

Real Johnson-Wilson theories

Maia Averett

Mills College

Young Women in Topology

Bonn, Germany

June 2010

Complex Oriented Theories and Formal Group Laws

Suppose E is a complex oriented generalized cohomology theory.

- $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong E^*[[x]]$ for $x \in E^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$
 $x =$ the first Chern class (Euler class) of the tautological line bundle

Complex Oriented Theories and Formal Group Laws

Suppose E is a complex oriented generalized cohomology theory.

- $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong E^*[[x]]$ for $x \in E^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$
 $x =$ the first Chern class (Euler class) of the tautological line bundle
- Examples: $H^*(-; R)$, $KU^*(-)$, and $MU^*(-)$

Complex Oriented Theories and Formal Group Laws

Suppose E is a complex oriented generalized cohomology theory.

- $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong E^*[[x]]$ for $x \in E^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$
 $x =$ the first Chern class (Euler class) of the tautological line bundle
- The classifying map $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ of the tensor product of line bundles gives rise to a power series F_E over E^*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 E^*[[x]] & \longrightarrow & E^*[[x, y]]
 \end{array}$$

Complex Oriented Theories and Formal Group Laws

Suppose E is a complex oriented generalized cohomology theory.

- $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) \cong E^*[[x]]$ for $x \in E^2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$
 $x =$ the first Chern class (Euler class) of the tautological line bundle
- The classifying map $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ of the tensor product of line bundles gives rise to a power series F_E over E^*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & E^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 E^*[[x]] & \longrightarrow & E^*[[x, y]]
 \end{array}$$

- F_E is a formal group law over E^*

Quillen and Landweber

Theorem (Quillen)

If F is a formal group law over R , then there is a unique map $MU^ \rightarrow R$ carrying F_{MU} to F_R .*

Quillen and Landweber

Theorem (Quillen)

If F is a formal group law over R , then there is a unique map $MU^ \rightarrow R$ carrying F_{MU} to F_R .*

Given a formal group law F over R , we can form

$$MU^*(X) \otimes_{MU^*} R$$

Quillen and Landweber

Theorem (Quillen)

If F is a formal group law over R , then there is a unique map $MU^ \rightarrow R$ carrying F_{MU} to F_R .*

Given a formal group law F over R , we can form

$$MU^*(X) \otimes_{MU^*} R$$

Natural Question: When is this a cohomology theory?

Theorem (Landweber)

Let v_i be the coefficient of x^{p^i} in $[p]_F(x)$. If the sequence (v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots) forms a regular sequence in R for every prime p , then $MU^(X) \otimes_{MU^*} R$ is a cohomology theory.*

Two cohomology theories

Fix prime $p = 2$.

- **Johnson-Wilson theory** $E(n)$: Landweber exact theory with

$$E(n)_* = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n^\pm], \quad |v_i| = 2(2^i - 1)$$

- **Morava E -theory** E_n : Landweber exact theory with

$$(E_n)_* = W(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^\pm], \quad |u_i| = 0, |u| = 2$$

- Related by completion and homotopy fixed points:

$$\widehat{E(n)} = L_{K(n)} E(n), \quad E_n(\text{Gal}) = E_n^{hG}$$

$$\widehat{E(n)} \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$$

$$\widehat{E(n)}_* = (E(n)_*)_{I_n}^\wedge = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]] [v_n^\pm]$$

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

Natural question: Are these actions related?

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

Natural question: Are these actions related?

YES

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

Natural question: Are these actions related?

YES

First a little more background...

Real theories

Complex conjugation action on $E(n)$ arises in context of Real theories ($\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant $RO(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded)

- Atiyah, 1966: Real K -theory $\mathbb{K}R$

$$\mathbb{K}R(X) = G \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cplx v.b. } \pi : E \rightarrow X \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} E, X \text{ } \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-spaces} \\ \text{antilin. on fibers, } \pi \text{ equiv} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right.$$

Real theories

Complex conjugation action on $E(n)$ arises in context of Real theories ($\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant $RO(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded)

- Atiyah, 1966: Real K -theory $\mathbb{K}R$

$$\mathbb{K}R(X) = G \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cplx v.b. } \pi : E \rightarrow X \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} E, X \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-spaces} \\ \text{antilin. on fibers, } \pi \text{ equiv} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right.$$

- Landweber, 1967: Real cobordism $\mathbb{M}R$

Uses $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action of complex conjugation on $BU(k)$.

Real theories

Complex conjugation action on $E(n)$ arises in context of Real theories ($\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant $RO(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded)

- Atiyah, 1966: Real K -theory $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X) = G \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cplx v.b. } \pi : E \rightarrow X \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} E, X \text{ } \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-spaces} \\ \text{antilin. on fibers, } \pi \text{ equiv} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

- Landweber, 1967: Real cobordism $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{R}$

Uses $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action of complex conjugation on $BU(k)$.

- Araki, 1978: Defined $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ using a Quillen idempotent argument

Real theories

Complex conjugation action on $E(n)$ arises in context of Real theories ($\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant $RO(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -graded)

- Atiyah, 1966: Real K -theory $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X) = G \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cplx v.b. } \pi : E \rightarrow X \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} E, X \text{ } \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-spaces} \\ \text{antilin. on fibers, } \pi \text{ equiv} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

- Landweber, 1967: Real cobordism $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{R}$

Uses $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action of complex conjugation on $BU(k)$.

- Araki, 1978: Defined $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ using a Quillen idempotent argument

- Hu & Kriz, 2001: Defined $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(n)$ and $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{R}(n)$ as $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{R}$ -modules

Kitchloo and Wilson's real Johnson-Wilson theory

Real theory $\mathbb{E} \rightsquigarrow$ naïve $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant theory

- $\mathbb{K}R \rightsquigarrow KU$
- $\mathbb{M}R \rightsquigarrow MU$
- $\mathbb{E}R(n) \rightsquigarrow E(n)$

Kitchloo and Wilson's real Johnson-Wilson theory

Real theory $\mathbb{E} \rightsquigarrow$ naïve $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant theory

- $\mathbb{K}R \rightsquigarrow KU$
- $\mathbb{M}R \rightsquigarrow MU$
- $\mathbb{E}R(n) \rightsquigarrow E(n)$

Taking homotopy fixed points gives new theories:

- $KU^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} = KO$
- $E(n)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} = ER(n)$, Kitchloo and Wilson's "real Johnson-Wilson"

Kitchloo and Wilson's real Johnson-Wilson theory

Real theory $\mathbb{E} \rightsquigarrow$ naïve $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant theory

- $\mathbb{K}R \rightsquigarrow KU$
- $\mathbb{M}R \rightsquigarrow MU$
- $\mathbb{E}R(n) \rightsquigarrow E(n)$

Taking homotopy fixed points gives new theories:

- $KU^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} = KO$
- $E(n)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} = ER(n)$, Kitchloo and Wilson's "real Johnson-Wilson"

Moral:

$$\text{complex} \xleftarrow{\text{forget}} \text{Real} \xrightarrow{\text{fixed pts}} \text{real}$$

Kitchloo and Wilson's real Johnson-Wilson theory

The $ER(n)$ are higher real K -theories.

$$E(1) = KU_{(2)} \quad ER(1) = KO_{(2)}$$

Kitchloo-Wilson: There is a fibration

$$\Sigma^{\lambda(n)} ER(n) \xrightarrow{x(n)} ER(n) \rightarrow E(n)$$

that reduces when $n = 1$ to the classical fibration

$$\Sigma KO_{(2)} \xrightarrow{\eta} KO_{(2)} \rightarrow KU_{(2)}$$

Makes computations feasible (Bockstein spectral sequence).

$$\lambda(n) = 2^{2n+1} - 2^{n+2} + 1$$

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

Natural question: Are these actions related?

The Morava stabilizer group

Morava E -theory:

- Landweber exact cohomology theory E_n
- $(E_n)^* = W(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]][u^\pm]$

The Morava stabilizer group

Morava E -theory:

- Landweber exact cohomology theory E_n
- $(E_n)^* = W(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^\pm]$
- F_{E_n} is the universal deformation of the Honda formal group law H_n

The Morava stabilizer group

Morava E -theory:

- Landweber exact cohomology theory E_n
- $(E_n)^* = W(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]\langle u^\pm \rangle$
- F_{E_n} is the universal deformation of the Honda formal group law H_n

Morava stabilizer group: $S_n := \text{Aut}(H_n)$

The Morava stabilizer group

Morava E -theory:

- Landweber exact cohomology theory E_n
- $(E_n)^* = W(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]]\langle u^\pm \rangle$
- F_{E_n} is the universal deformation of the Honda formal group law H_n

Morava stabilizer group: $S_n := \text{Aut}(H_n)$

Note: S_n has a subgroup of order two generated by the formal inverse $i(x)$.

The Morava stabilizer group

Morava E -theory:

- Landweber exact cohomology theory E_n
- $(E_n)^* = W(\mathbb{F}_{2^n})[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]] [u^\pm]$
- F_{E_n} is the universal deformation of the Honda formal group law H_n

Morava stabilizer group: $S_n := \text{Aut}(H_n)$

Note: S_n has a subgroup of order two generated by the formal inverse $i(x)$.

Extended Morava stabilizer group: $\mathbb{G}_n := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes S_n$

Hopkins-Miller-Goerss

- Lubin-Tate theory $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on $(E_n)_*$

Hopkins-Miller-Goerss

- Lubin-Tate theory $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on $(E_n)_*$
- Hopkins-Miller-Goerss $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on E_n by E_∞ -maps

Hopkins-Miller-Goerss

- Lubin-Tate theory $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on $(E_n)_*$
- Hopkins-Miller-Goerss $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on E_n by E_∞ -maps
- Get interesting E_∞ -ring spectra by E_n^{hK} for $K \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$
e.g. $E_n^{h\mathbb{G}_n} = L_{K(n)}\mathcal{S}$

Hopkins-Miller-Goerss

- Lubin-Tate theory $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on $(E_n)_*$
- Hopkins-Miller-Goerss $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on E_n by E_∞ -maps
- Get interesting E_∞ -ring spectra by E_n^{hK} for $K \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$
e.g. $E_n^{h\mathbb{G}_n} = L_{K(n)}\mathcal{S}$

Define $E_n(\text{Gal}) := E_n^{hK}$ for $K = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times$.

Hopkins-Miller-Goerss

- Lubin-Tate theory $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on $(E_n)_*$
- Hopkins-Miller-Goerss $\Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n$ acts on E_n by E_∞ -maps
- Get interesting E_∞ -ring spectra by E_n^{hK} for $K \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$
e.g. $E_n^{h\mathbb{G}_n} = L_{K(n)}\mathcal{S}$

Define $E_n(\text{Gal}) := E_n^{hK}$ for $K = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times$.

- $E_n(\text{Gal})_* = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[[v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]] [v_n^\pm]$
- Order 2 subgroup generated by $i(x)$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

Natural question: Are these actions related?

A natural question

We have $\widehat{E}(n) \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})$ and...

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\widehat{E}(n)$

Complex conjugation action

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $E_n(\text{Gal})$

Action of the subgroup of
Morava stabilizer group
generated by the formal inverse

Natural question: Are these actions related?

YES

Answer: Yes

Theorem (A.)

There is an equivalence

$$\widehat{E(n)}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \simeq E_n(\text{Gal})^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

and the natural map

$$ER(n) = E(n)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow \widehat{E(n)}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

induces an algebraic completion on coefficients.

Consequences

Corollary

After completion, $ER(n)$ is an E_∞ -ring spectrum.

Consequences

Corollary

After completion, $ER(n)$ is an E_∞ -ring spectrum.

Corollary

$$(E_n(\text{Gal})^{h\mathbb{Z}/2})_* = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2[[\hat{v}_k(l) \mid 0 \leq k < n, l \in \mathbb{Z}]] [x, v_n^{\pm 2^{n+1}}] / J$$

J is the ideal generated by the relations

$$\hat{v}_0(0) = 2 \quad x^{2^{k+1}-1} \hat{v}_k(l) = 0$$

$$\text{and for } k \leq m, \quad \hat{v}_m(l) \hat{v}_k(2^{m-k}s) = \hat{v}_m(l+s) \hat{v}_k(0)$$

$$|x| = \lambda(n) = 2^{2n+1} - 2^{n+2} + 1 \quad |v_n^{2^{n+1}}| = 2^{n+2}(2^n - 1)^2$$

$$|\hat{v}_k(l)| = 2(2^k - 1) + l^{2^{k+2}}(2^n - 1)^2 - 2(2^k - 1)(2^n - 1)^2$$

A bit about the proof

We'd like *equivariant* map $\varphi : \widehat{E}(n) \rightarrow E_n(\text{Gal})$ that is also an equivalence.

But we only have a *homotopy equivariant* one.

A bit about the proof

We'd like *equivariant* map $\varphi : \widehat{E}(n) \rightarrow E_n(\text{Gal})$ that is also an equivalence.

But we only have a *homotopy equivariant* one.

- Try replacing $\widehat{E}(n)$ by $\widehat{E}(n) \wedge F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi$
- φ homotopy equivariant \Rightarrow conjugation action on $F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi$
- $ev : \widehat{E}(n) \wedge F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi \rightarrow E_n(\text{Gal})$ is honestly equivariant

A bit about the proof

We'd like *equivariant* map $\varphi : \widehat{E}(n) \rightarrow E_n(\text{Gal})$ that is also an equivalence.

But we only have a *homotopy equivariant* one.

- Try replacing $\widehat{E}(n)$ by $\widehat{E}(n) \wedge F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi$
- φ homotopy equivariant \Rightarrow conjugation action on $F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi$
- $ev : \widehat{E}(n) \wedge F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi \rightarrow E_n(\text{Gal})$ is honestly equivariant
- If $F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi \simeq pt$, then $\widehat{E}(n) \wedge F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi \simeq \widehat{E}(n)$.
- Need appropriate category so that $F(\widehat{E}(n), E_n(\text{Gal}))_\varphi \simeq pt$. Try S -algebra maps.
- Problem: not known if $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $E(n)$ is a S -algebra map.

A bit about the proof

- Instead use $F_{S\text{-alg}}(v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}, E_n(\text{Gal}))$. New problem: not contractible.
- Dirty trick: create S -algebra T so that $F_{T\text{-alg}}(v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}, E_n(\text{Gal}))$ is homotopy discrete.

A bit about the proof

- Instead use $F_{S\text{-alg}}(v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}, E_n(\text{Gal}))$. New problem: not contractible.
- Dirty trick: create S -algebra T so that $F_{T\text{-alg}}(v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}, E_n(\text{Gal}))$ is homotopy discrete.
 - $T =$ free S -algebra on a bunch of spheres
 - $\pi_*(v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}) = \pi_*(\widehat{E}(n) \wedge T)$
 - Compute BKSS for $F_{T\text{-alg}}(-, E_n(\text{Gal}))$
 - A map $\widehat{E}(n) \wedge T \rightarrow v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}$ gives a map of spectral sequences that is an iso on E_2
 - Since that for $\widehat{E}(n) \wedge T$ collapses, so does that for $v_n^{-1}\widehat{MU}$

A bit about the proof

- Now

$$v_n^{-1} \widehat{MU} \wedge F_{T\text{-alg}}(v_n^{-1} \widehat{MU}, E_n(\text{Gal}))_\nu \rightarrow E_n(\text{Gal})$$

is equivariant.

- After taking homotopy fixed points, obtain a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 v_n^{-1} \widehat{MU}^{h\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}/2}} & \rightarrow & E_n(\text{Gal})^{h\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}/2}} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \simeq & \\
 \widehat{E(n)}^{h\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}/2}} & &
 \end{array}$$

Thank you!